Project Name:	Department of Energy BMIS Phoenix
Project Number:	BMIS-Phoenix Project Phase I
DOE Proj Mgr:	Michael Fraser
IBM Proj Mgr:	Don A. Cox, PMP

RISK FACTOR AND EVENT LISTING for

Department of Energy BMIS Phoenix Project

Deliverable ID: ENG 351 Risk Definition

Version number: 2.05

Draft/Final as of: 24 October, 2001 **Printed on:** 24 October, 2001 **Author:** *Rene Moreau, PMP*

Owner: Don A. Cox, Team IBM Project Manager



Project Name:	Department of Energy BMIS Phoenix
Project Number:	BMIS-Phoenix Project Phase I
DOE Proj Mgr:	Michael Fraser
IBM Proj Mgr:	Don A. Cox, PMP

Document information

Document source

This document is maintained as an online document. Contact the author for the latest version.

Revision history

Version	Date	Summary of changes	Revised By
number			
1.01	31 Oct 00	First version	Lucinda Szebrat
1.02	30 Nov 00	EOM November	Lucinda Szebrat
1.03	30 Dec 00	EOM December	Lucinda Szebrat
2.01	31 Jan 01	EOM January	Rene Moreau
2.02	28 Feb 01	EOM February	Rene Moreau
2.03	30 Mar 01	EOM March	Rene Moreau
2.04	30 Apr 01	EOM April	Rene Moreau
2.05	31 Jul 01	EOM July	Rene Moreau
2.06	24 Oct 01	EOM October	Rene Moreau

Approvals

The following people have approved this document. (Sign below name)

Don A. Cox	Team IBM Program Manager
Signature:	Date:

Distribution

This document has been distributed to:

Name	Function		
Team IBM PM	Project Review		
Project Team Leads	TL Review		



Project Name:	Department of Energy BMIS Phoenix
Project Number:	BMIS-Phoenix Project Phase I
DOE Proj Mgr:	Michael Fraser
IBM Proj Mgr:	Don A. Cox, PMP

Risk Factor and Event Listing

Date:	04/30/2001	Document ID:	ENG 351 Risk Identification

No.	Close	Description	Date ID'd	Eval?	Raised by?	Status
			/ Closed			
1	\boxtimes	Project not adequately funded.	9/22/00		PDW	This is an ongoing risk
			10/15/00			
2	\boxtimes	Loss of key Federal personnel	9/22/00		PDW	This is an ongoing risk
			10/15/00			
3	\boxtimes	Election result impact on DOE	9/22/00		PDW	From Deputy
		leadership	10/15/00			Controller on down, all
						are career personnel,
						not appointed.
4	\boxtimes	Lack of DOE resources	9/22/00		PDW	This is an ongoing risk
			10/15/00			in a matrix
						organization
5	\boxtimes	Commitment from non-CFO	9/22/00		PDW	This is an ongoing risk
		DOE organizations lacking	10/15/00			in a matrix
						organization
6	\boxtimes	Lack of decision making on new	9/22/00		PDW	Statement not
		business processes	10/15/00			attributed in PDW –
						cannot follow-up to ID
						concern
7	\boxtimes	All processes that require	9/22/00		PDW	Part of Method Blue
		conversion not identified.	10/15/00			process is to identify
						all conversion
						requirements.
8	\boxtimes	Undocumented reports	9/22/00		PDW	Part of Method Blue
			10/15/00			process is to identify
						all report requirements
9	\boxtimes	Undocumented interfaces	9/22/00		PDW	Part of Method Blue
			10/15/00			process is to identify
						all interface
						requirements
10	\boxtimes	Business practices are changed	9/22/00		PDW	Generic risk – given
		during project	10/15/00			length of project, we
						expect business
						processes to change.
						Must address when it
	<u> </u>		0 /22 /2 =		DD VI	happens.
11	\boxtimes	Local requirements????	9/22/00		PDW	Part of Method Blue
			10/15/00			process is to identify
						all site-specific
10	N/		0/22/22		DDW	requirements.
12	\boxtimes	Software Gap in handling	9/22/00		PDW	Software gap analysis
		Reimbursable work	10/15/00			occurs in the Focus
						Phase. We will
						identify gaps and



Project Name:	Department of Energy BMIS Phoenix
Project Number:	BMIS-Phoenix Project Phase I
DOE Proj Mgr:	Michael Fraser
IBM Proj Mgr:	Don A. Cox, PMP

No.	Close	Description	Date ID'd / Closed	Eval?	Raised by?	Status
						propose alternatives in Design Phase.
13		Software Gap in handling Recasts	9/22/00 10/15/00		PDW	Software gap analysis occurs in the Focus Phase. We will identify gaps and propose alternatives in Design Phase.
14	\boxtimes	Interfaces with Integrated (M&I) Contractors	9/22/00 10/15/00		PDW	An interfaces team is assigned to analyze the M&I Interface.
15	\bowtie	Impact of BMIS-FM on Integrated Contractors	9/22/00 10/15/00		PDW	See above.
16		Scope changes due to new program requirements	9/22/00 10/15/00		PDW	Formal documented program management processes govern the project scope.
17		Cultural change requirements underestimated	9/22/00 10/15/00		PDW	The BMIS-FM team is aware of the significance of cultural change. Project resources are dedicated to Cultural Change Management, and specific plans to conduct change interventions and monitor organizational readiness have been implemented.
18	\boxtimes	External requirements change	9/22/00 10/15/00		PDW	Generic risk.
19		No one POC for Integrated Contractor interfaces	9/22/00 10/15/00		PDW	The Interfaces team leads are in fact the POC for this.
20		Solution too Cap Center focused	9/22/00 10/15/00		PDW	The project team has no vested interest in any service center, and project team members will be selected from all sites.
21		Relationship with Travel project	9/22/00 10/15/00		PDW	The proposal calls for an interface with the Travel Manager software app. If the app is changed in the future (status on this unknown), we will address using standard change control



Project Name:	Department of Energy BMIS Phoenix
Project Number:	BMIS-Phoenix Project Phase I
DOE Proj Mgr:	Michael Fraser
IBM Proj Mgr:	Don A. Cox, PMP

No.	Close	Description	Date ID'd / Closed	Eval?	Raised by?	Status
						process.
22		Relationship with Payroll project	9/22/00 10/15/00		PDW	The proposal calls for an interface with the Payroll software app. If the app is changed in the future (status on this unknown), we will address using standard change control process.
23	\boxtimes	Dual tasking on staff during training	10/24/00 11/15/00		Concannon, G	Ongoing risk in a matrix organization.
24	\boxtimes	Poor morale due to concerns of	10/24/00		Concannon,	Full-time cultural
		future state	11/15/00		G	change team will be highly focused on this issue.
25		Reliance on consultants	10/24/00 11/15/00		Concannon, G	The SOW calls for ongoing knowledge transfer, with the intent to ramp DOE staffers ASAP.
26		Requirements continue to be gathered while schedule is fixed	10/24/00 11/15/00		Concannon, G	The original set of requirements as established in the SOW is considered as the baseline. Any new requirements will be handled through the change control process.
27		No funding for customizations	10/24/00 11/15/00		Concannon, G	Per DOE SOW, this is a true statement. However, optional task performance objectives may be turned on to address this, once the Design Phase is completed.
28	\boxtimes	Oracle support for TARs and bugs not timely.	10/24/00 11/15/00		Concannon, G	See ENG 021 Risk Analysis
29		Stakeholders unwilling to change business process	10/24/00 11/15/00		Concannon, G	Ongoing risk. Full- time cultural change team will be highly focused on this issue.
30		Management & Operations (M&O) Contractor systems changes	10/24/00 11/15/00		Concannon, G	Our strategy is to require no changes in the M&O feed, rather, to handle in the Oracle EDMS middleware.
31	\boxtimes	Separation of newly created	10/24/00	\boxtimes	Concannon,	See ENG 021 Risk



Project Name:	Department of Energy BMIS Phoenix
Project Number:	BMIS-Phoenix Project Phase I
DOE Proj Mgr:	Michael Fraser
IBM Proj Mgr:	Don A. Cox, PMP

No.	Close	Description	Date ID'd / Closed	Eval?	Raised by?	Status
		NNSA (National Nuclear Security Administration) into its own entity outside of DOE.	11/15/00		G	Analysis
32		Loss of Senior Management champion	10/24/00 11/15/00		Concannon, G	Government-wide requirements for modernization transcend party politics. It is expected that Senior Management will continue to sponsor the project.
33		Full support from Financial Mgrs (does this include program offices?) across DOE lacking.	10/24/00 11/15/00		Olson, D	Ongoing risk. Full- time cultural change team will be highly focused on this issue.
34		Increase scope to include Budget Formulation	10/24/00 11/15/00		Zawadski, A	No increase in scope necessary – included as part of the 3 rd year of the project, after the CFS is implemented.
35		Lack of commitment from Program Offices to comply with new budget structure	10/24/00 11/15/00		Zawadski, A	Ongoing risk. Full- time cultural change team will be highly focused on this issue.
36		Procurement transactions, often main source of accounting data, not moving to Oracle	10/24/00 11/15/00		Zawadski, A	Common issue. Many organizations don't incorporate Oracle Purchasing, but still must facilitate funds checking. The system is designed to facilitate this process, however, we will thoroughly analyze the requirements during Focus Phase, provide alternatives in Design Phase.
37		24 month schedule too aggressive for DOE culture	10/24/00 11/15/00		Olson, D	See ENG 021 Risk Analysis
38		Data not partitioned by field office and service center	10/24/00 11/15/00		Olson, D	Within the Oracle Application, the physical data is restricted through user security to functionally equate to the current architecture.
39	\boxtimes	Recasts and account codes changed during implementation	10/24/00 11/15/00	\bowtie	Olson, D	See ENG 021 Risk Analysis

Project Name:	Department of Energy BMIS Phoenix
Project Number:	BMIS-Phoenix Project Phase I
DOE Proj Mgr:	Michael Fraser
IBM Proj Mgr:	Don A. Cox, PMP

No.	Close	Description	Date ID'd / Closed	Eval?	Raised by?	Status
40		Core users not trained with tools required to understand differences between legacy and BMIS-FM data	10/24/00 11/15/00		Olson, D	All users will be trained in the use of the software. We can't address this until we've progressed farther in the project and assessed training gaps.
41	\bowtie	CIO firewall protocol standards will not permit Java applets	10/24/00 11/15/00	\bowtie	Olson, D	See ENG 021 Risk Analysis
42	\boxtimes	SGL conversion schedule	10/24/00 11/15/00	\bowtie	Cox, D	See ENG 021 Risk Analysis
43		Data conversion	10/26/00		Cox, D	See ENG 021 Risk Analysis. Reference Risk ID 067.
44		External data exchange	10/26/00		Cox, D	A team led by Jack Hart has been established to analyze the Integrated Contractor data feeds. See ENG 021 Risk Analysis for further detail.
45		Location of consolidated reporting in either MARS or Oracle	10/24/00 11/15/00		Loyd, R	MARS will be converted last, and will continue to provide consolidated reporting. At that time, all reporting will then be handled by Oracle.
46	\boxtimes	SGL resources supporting two projects	10/24/00 11/15/00	\boxtimes	Cox, D	See ENG 021 Risk Analysis for item 42
47	\boxtimes	Congressional Funding and Continuing Resolutions	10/24/00 11/15/00		Cox, D	Ongoing risk.
48		Move to SGL causes loss of DOE historical accounting method	10/24/00 11/15/00		Zawadski, A	Not project related – Department currently reports via SGL from the MARS system, and is migrating to SGL in the DISCAS system.
49	\boxtimes	Inadequate training on new SGL accounts	10/24/00 11/15/00		Kramer, L	Referred to SGL Team.
50		Project schedule lengthens and field offices lose interest, field experts pulled off project	10/24/00 11/15/00		Smith, I	Ongoing risk. Instituting a project Steering Committee comprised of field and headquarters management has mitigated this risk. Project management is



Project Name:	Department of Energy BMIS Phoenix
Project Number:	BMIS-Phoenix Project Phase I
DOE Proj Mgr:	Michael Fraser
IBM Proj Mgr:	Don A. Cox, PMP

No.	Close	Description	Date ID'd / Closed	Eval?	Raised by?	Status
						working regularly with the Steering Committee and DOE upper management to sustain focus.
51		Budget Execution occurs in many different systems, lots of accounting data is interfaced	10/24/00 11/15/00		Zawadski, A	Part of Method Blue process is identifying all application specific requirements.
52		Additional work is created for Finance folks to enter Purchasing data into Oracle.	10/24/00 11/15/00		Zawadski, A	Unknown. Part of Method Blue process is to identify all application specific requirements.
53		Oracle budgeting functionality can not satisfy budget management requirements	10/24/00 11/15/00		Olson, D	Part of Method Blue process is identifying all application specific requirements. During the Focus Phase, we will thoroughly analyze budget systems for fit – gap analysis, and propose alternatives in the Design Phase.
54	\boxtimes	Unidentified databases	10/24/00 11/15/00		Cox, D	Misunderstanding of client statement.
55	\boxtimes	Dismantling of DOE	10/24/00 11/15/00		Loyd, R	Judged to be unlikely to occur.
56		Current operations affected due to key staff diverted to BMIS-FM project	10/24/00 11/15/00		Loyd, R	Ongoing issue in a matrix organization. This is an issue of organizational priorities and is being addressed through the chain of command.
57		Site infrastructure readiness, i.e. funding for PCs that meet hardware requirements.	10/24/00 11/15/00		Olson, D	The DOE PM has communicated hardware requirements to the CIO, all sites and programs. The IT infrastructure team has provided this to all site coordinators. The minimum configuration is no longer even sold, and the price of the maximum configuration has been



Project Name:	Department of Energy BMIS Phoenix
Project Number:	BMIS-Phoenix Project Phase I
DOE Proj Mgr:	Michael Fraser
IBM Proj Mgr:	Don A. Cox, PMP

No.	Close	Description	Date ID'd / Closed	Eval?	Raised by?	Status
						reduced to less than \$1000.
58		No sound cards in ALO due to security can affect distance learning plans	10/24/00 11/15/00		Olson, D	Other training modes available. Site-specific risk to be handled by the OCM, Implementation Teams.
59		Increase scope to include PRISM for Purchasing group	10/24/00 11/15/00		Fraser, M	Currently out of scope – will handle through the standard change control process if required.
60		Focus moves away from just completing new accounting system (trying to do too much)	10/24/00 11/15/00		Dorsey Hibbets	While the project scope includes areas outside of the CFS such as procurement and budget formulation, CFS remains as the highest project priority.
61		Oracle unable to schedule training courses until Feb 2001.	12/15/00 01/05/01		Joyce, Ben	From Issue Log. See ENG 021 Risk
62	\boxtimes	Oracle does not provide a Federalized "Vision Database"	01/05/01 01/16/01	\bowtie	Fowlkes, Greg	Analysis See ENG 021 Risk Analysis
63		Concerns regarding travel requirements, schedule, budget for upcoming CRPs	01/29/01 07/31/01	\bowtie	Moreau, Rene	Sufficient budget was identified to support the travel requirements.
64		The Accounting Flex Field design, as recommended from the AFF Workshop, consists of 13 segments, over 80 characters. The number of segments and length of combination has a significant downside in terms of display on forms, reports, use in queries, and rollups.	03/05/01		Patel, Jay	Risk immediately mitigated by establishing a proof of concept demo prior to beginning first CRP. Proof of Concept was conducted March 2001 and a viable AFF design was identified.
65		Uncertainty in the level of FY2002 funding and potential delay in obtaining approval prevents ramp-up of Development Team resources	7/31/01		Don Cox/ Dave Dowdell (10/16 update)	See ENG 021 Risk Analysis. <i>Updated</i> 10/16/01.
66		The Data Center UPS system has been demonstrated to be unreliable.	7/31/01	\bowtie	Don Cox	See ENG 021 Risk Analysis
67		The scope of the development effort, i.e. the number and complexity of interfaces,	7/31/00		Rene Moreau	See ENG 021 Risk Analysis. Reference Risk IDs 043 and 065



Project Name:	Department of Energy BMIS Phoenix
Project Number:	BMIS-Phoenix Project Phase I
DOE Proj Mgr:	Michael Fraser
IBM Proj Mgr:	Don A. Cox, PMP

No.	Close	Description	Date ID'd / Closed	Eval?	Raised by?	Status
		conversions, and custom reports, is not defined.				
68		The applicability of the Project Accounting Module is yet to be determined, and associated requirements and design are not known	7/31/01		Rene Moreau	NETL Pilot underway. See ENG 021 Risk Analysis
69		Part time resources unable to adequately support project during year-end peak workload.	10/02/01		Rene Moreau	See ENG 021 Risk Analysis
70		During the gap assessment process project team members may miss opportunities to improve/eliminate inefficient or low value-add processes.	10/02/01		Jim Reid	See ENG 021 Risk Analysis
71		Fundamental changes to the core financial systems (such as AFF) may have widespread, unanticipated impact on a significant number of Department systems.	10/02/01		Andy Zawadski	See ENG 021 Risk Analysis
72		The quality of media distributed by Oracle for software upgrades has been poor.	10/09/01		Dave Dowdell	See ENG 021 Risk Analysis
73		Multiple versions of Travel Manager are being run on a number of different platforms. This circumstance could lead to a significant increase in the complexity in the interface to this system.	10/09/01		Laura Kramer	Seen ENG 021 Risk Analysis
74		Experience resulting from the events of September 11, 2001 show that the current provider of offsite back up processing capability may not have sufficient capacity to support Phoenix in the event of a disaster.	10/23/01		Dave Dowdell	Phoenix project management to monitor the actions of the Data Center to address this issue.
75		Continued delays in completion of the design phase put the scheduled October 2002 Capital Center implementation at risk.	10/23/01		Rene Moreau	See ENG 021 Risk Analysis



Project Name:	Department of Energy BMIS Phoenix
Project Number:	BMIS-Phoenix Project Phase I
DOE Proj Mgr:	Michael Fraser
IBM Proj Mgr:	Don A. Cox, PMP

